UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,761	07/17/2003	Jean-Louis Gueret	124371	9154
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 03/14/200° RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 199	28	MANAHAN, TODD E		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	<u> </u>
\/	~/
X	λ
U	v

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summans	10/620,761	GUERET, JEAN-LOUIS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Todd E. Manahan	3732 .				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 De	ecember 2006.					
,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9,11-24,26-38,40-57 and 59-99 is/a	re pending in the application.	•				
,===	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6) Claim(s) 1-9,11-24,26-38,40-57 and 59-99 is/a	re rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 14, 24, 31, 38, 46, 57, 65, and 82 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Regarding claims 14 et al., they merely recite an intended use for the device of the base claim, i.e. "a closure cap for closing a receptacle". It does not place any further limitations on the structure set forth in the base claim. Regarding claims 24 et al., they recite duplicate limitations of that of the base claim as amended, i.e. "comprising at least 2 hinges" and thus do not place any further limitations thereon.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9, 13, 14, 16-20, 22-24, 26, 27, 30, 31, 33-38, 40-42, 45, 46, 49-53, 55-57, 59-61, 64, 65, 68-72, 74-78, 81, and 82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kogyo (Japanese Patent No. 63-17031).

Kogyo discloses a device comprising at least two containers 2,2A configured to be superposable on a stacking axis. One container 2 is configured as a lid with a hinge 9 with the

10/620,761 Art Unit: 3732

container added thereto. Each container defines a housing. The device further comprises a lid 3 and an applicator member 5c is secured in one of the housings (see figure 1). The containers pivot about one another about an axis not parallel to the stacking axis. There are at least two hinges 9. The hinges are angularly offset. One of the housings contains cosmetic. Regarding claims 16 et al. Though only two containers are shown, Togyo discloses that more containers can be added as desired. Regarding the limitation of the axis of rotation of the hinges not being parallel, it is noted that in figure 1, the axis of rotation of the hinges are perpendicular and in figures 21-23 they are angularly offset so as not to be parallel.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11, 12, 15, 21, 28, 29, 32, 43, 44, 47, 48, 54, 62, 63, 66, 67, 73, 79, 80, 83-99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Togyo in view of Playe (United Sates Patent No. 5,992,427).

Regarding claims 11 and 12, et al., Togyo discloses the claimed invention except that the second container is formed with the lid to the first container instead of being affixed to the lid of the first container. Playe shows that forming the container with the lid (fig. 4) and forming the container separate therefrom and affixing it to the lid (fig.3) are equivalent structures known in

Art Unit: 3732

the art. Therefore, because these two were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to form the container separate therefrom and affixing it to the lid instead of form the container with the lid. Regarding claims 15 et al., Playe discloses forming the bottom of a container such that it may be used as a closure cap for a different receptacle. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to make the bottom of the lowest container of Togyo such that it may form a closure cap to another receptacle and fit it to such receptacle in view of Playe in order to provide the device with a means for containing a different type cosmetic (e.g. a cream or lotion or toner).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd E. Manahan whose telephone number is 571 272- 4713. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Rodriguez can be reached on 571 272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Todd E. Manahan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

T.E. Manahan 8 March 2007